



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

ROPES & GRAY LLP  
PATENT DOCKETING 39/361  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10036-8704

**MAILED**

**JUL 19 2010**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application	:
Lu, et al.	:
Application No. 10/551,667	: DECISION ON APPLICATION
Filed: July 18, 2006	: FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
Docket No. 104825-0017-101	:

This is a decision on the "PETITION RE: PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT", filed December 30, 2009. Applicants request that the initial determination of patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) remain zero (0) days, but that eight-six (86) additional days of Applicant delay be accorded.

The application for patent term adjustment is **GRANTED**.

The Office has updated the PAIR screen to reflect that the correct Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) determination at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is **zero (0) days, including three hundred three (303) days of Applicant delay**. A copy of the updated PAIR screen, showing the correct determination, is enclosed.

On October 1, 2009, the Office mailed the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) in the above-identified application. The Notice stated that the patent term adjustment (PTA) to date was zero (0) days.

The Office initially determined a patent term adjustment of zero (0) days based on an adjustment for PTO delay of one (1) day pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i) and 37 C.F.R. §

1.703(a)(1), reduced by thirty-four (34), ninety-one (91), and ninety-two (92) days of applicant delay pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b).

However, Applicants point out that the ninety-one (91) days of Applicant delay should be ninety-two (92) days, as their October 28, 2008 response as reflected in PAIR was actually filed on October 29, 2009. A review of the application file in the Image File Wrapper reveals that Applicants are correct. Accordingly, ninety-two (92) days of Applicant delay pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b) should be assessed for this reply.

Furthermore, Applicants point out that they filed an IDS on April 16, 2008, after they had previously filed an Election on January 22, 2008. As such, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(c)(8), Applicants should have been assessed eighty-five (85) days for filing this supplemental paper.

In view thereof, the correct determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is **zero (0) days** (1 day of PTO delay, reduced by 303 (34+92+92+85) days of applicant delay).

The \$200 fee for filing the instant application for patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(b) has been charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1075, as authorized.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Cliff Congo, Petitions Attorney, at (571)272-3207.



Anthony Knight  
Director  
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of Revised PAIR Screen

Day : Sunday  
Date: 7/18/2010

# PALM INTRANET

Time: 11:44:09

## PTA Calculations for Application: 10/551667

Application Filing Date:	07/18/2006	PTO Delay (PTO):	1
Issue Date of Patent:		Three Years:	0
Pre-Issue Petitions:	0	Applicant Delay (APPL):	217
Post-Issue Petitions:	0	Total PTA (days):	0
PTO Delay Adjustment:	-96		

## File Contents History

Number	Date	Contents Description	PTO	APPL	START
59	07/18/2010	ADJUSTMENT OF PTA CALCULATION BY PTO		96	
49	10/01/2009	MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE			
48	09/30/2009	ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED			
47	09/30/2009	DOCUMENT VERIFICATION			
46	09/30/2009	NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED			
45	09/30/2009	NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY			
41	08/19/2009	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED			
40	08/19/2009	FEE PAYMENT RECORDED (FEES FILED SEPARATELY E.G. NOT WITH ORIGINAL PAPERS, ETC).			
39	08/19/2009	REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS			
38	08/19/2009	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED		0	36
37	09/28/2009	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
36	08/19/2009	RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION		92	33
35	08/19/2009	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED			
34	08/19/2009	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED			
33	02/19/2009	MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION			
32	02/17/2009	NON-FINAL REJECTION			
31	10/28/2008	NEW OR ADDITIONAL DRAWING FILED			
30	12/07/2008	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
29	10/28/2008	RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION		91	26
28	10/28/2008	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED			

27	11/25/2008	CRF IS GOOD TECHNICALLY / ENTERED INTO DATABASE			
26	04/29/2008	MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION			
25	04/28/2008	NON-FINAL REJECTION			
24	04/16/2008	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED			
23	04/16/2008	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED			
21	02/13/2008	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
20	01/22/2008	RESPONSE TO ELECTION / RESTRICTION FILED		34	15
19	01/22/2008	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED			
18	01/22/2008	MISCELLANEOUS INCOMING LETTER			
17	11/13/2007	CHANGE IN POWER OF ATTORNEY (MAY INCLUDE ASSOCIATE POA)			
16	11/10/2007	CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS CHANGE			
15	09/19/2007	MAIL RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT	1		7
14	09/15/2007	REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION / ELECTION			
13	02/16/2007	MISCELLANEOUS INCOMING LETTER			
12	01/04/2007	PG-PUB ISSUE NOTIFICATION			
11	11/06/2006	IFW TSS PROCESSING BY TECH CENTER COMPLETE			
10	11/06/2006	CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU			
7	07/18/2006	371 COMPLETION DATE			
6	09/01/2006	APPLICATION DISPATCHED FROM OIPE			
5	07/18/2006	ADDITIONAL APPLICATION FILING FEES			
4	07/18/2006	A STATEMENT BY ONE OR MORE INVENTORS SATISFYING THE REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 USC 115, OATH OF THE APPLIC			

Search Another: Application#

#### EXPLANATION OF PTA CALCULATION

#### EXPLANATION OF PTE CALCULATION

To go back, right click here and select Back. To go forward, right click here and select Forward. To refresh, right click here and select Refresh.

Back to [OASIS](#) | [Home page](#)